

Aluminum Alloy Corrosion Inhibition by Vanadates

M. Iannuzzi,^{a,*} T. Young,^b and G. S. Frankel^{a,**}

^aFontana Corrosion Center, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

The inhibition of Al alloy corrosion by vanadates was studied in this work. Vanadium speciation is very complicated and vital to the inhibition efficacy. Critical conditions for decavanadate polymerization from clear metavanadate solutions were investigated. Decavanadate only formed when metavanadate was added to solutions of pH 3 or less. It was not possible to change the pH of a metavanadate solution without forming decavanadates, creating an orange-colored solution. According to ⁵¹V nuclear magnetic resonance, monovanadates were present only in clear metavanadate solutions; orange solutions always contained decavanadates and never contained monovanadates. Orange decavanadate solutions containing 0.5 M NaCl at pH 8.71 exhibited no significant inhibition of the oxygen reduction reaction and increasing decavanadate concentration was detrimental. In contrast, clear metavanadate solutions containing monovanadate exhibited strong inhibition of the oxygen reduction reaction, to a level similar to chromate. At a fixed pH, increased NaVO₃ concentration in clear metavanadate solutions increased inhibition efficiency. © 2006 The Electrochemical Society. [DOI: 10.1149/1.2358843] All rights reserved.

Manuscript submitted July 28, 2006; revised manuscript received August 9, 2006. Available electronically October 19, 2006.

High-strength aluminum alloys are used widely in structural aircraft applications because of the combination of good mechanical properties and light weight.^{1,2} However, aluminum alloys are prone to localized corrosion if exposed to aggressive environments con-taining chloride ions.³⁻⁶ Current protection schemes are based on the chemistry of chromate oxoanions. Chromates and dichromates in aqueous solutions, as conversion coatings or as pigment in primers, 7-14impart excellent corrosion protection to most aluminum alloys. The mechanism thought to be responsible for such potent inhibition involves the formation of a Cr^{3+} monolayer at the surface which impedes both oxygen and further Cr^{6+} electrochemical reduction.¹⁴⁻²⁰ After the formation of this Cr^{3+} monolayer, a surface film with a mixed 3 + /6 + oxidation state develops.¹⁸ The mixed oxidation state is responsible for the reported self-healing capabili-ties of chromate-based systems.^{7,9,13,18,21-24} Labile Cr⁶⁺ can be released from the coating and migrate to a damaged region where electrochemical reduction to Cr^{3+} can occur, protecting the surface from further corrosion.^{7,8,12,18,21,23,24} Despite their excellent performance, several regulations prohibit the use of Cr^{6+} technologies due to their high toxicity and carcinogenic effects.²⁵ The pressure imposed by these regulations has been a significant driving force promoting the development of chromate-free alternatives. As summarized by Kendig and Buchheit, compounds derived from almost 40 elements of the periodic table and combinations of them have been investigated.^{9,14} However, no suitable alternatives for high-strength Al alloys have been reported to date.

Vanadium-based oxyanions, also referred to as vanadates, have been investigated as corrosion inhibitors for Al alloys. However, they have not gained much attention probably due to the relatively large solubility of vanadium oxides in aqueous solutions.^{9,14,26,27} Smith et al. explored the release kinetics and protection performance of vanadate-based pigments in epoxy-coated AA2014-T6 panels. The authors concluded that vanadates are effective pigments for protecting Al alloys.²⁸ A later investigation by Cook et al. screened and compared several candidate inhibitors including vanadates, mo-lybdates, and ions of rare earth elements like Ce, Y, and La.²⁹ The authors concluded that in aqueous solutions at neutral to basic pHs, vanadates gave the best performance, reaching, in some cases, chromate-like levels. Interestingly, at lower pHs no inhibitor imparted good protection; however, vanadates were among the best performing compounds. Buchheit et al. developed a surface conversion process for aluminum alloys based on acidic vanadium formulations, which imparted protection to AA2024-T3 coated panels to some extent.²⁶ In addition, the authors analyzed the behavior of aqueous solutions containing vanadium oxoanions and concluded

that metavanadates, i.e., vanadate oligomers including V_1 , V_2 , V_4 , and V_5 coordination, are not potent inhibitors of the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) but significantly lower the anodic dissolution kinetics. Buchheit et al. also explored the use of vanadates as a counterion in hydrotalcite coatings. The authors reported that migration of vanadates from the coating can impart active corrosion protection.³⁰ Recently, Chambers et al. studied the synergism of several binary and ternary mixtures including vanadates, phosphates, molybdates, and several rare earths. The authors concluded that the maximum synergistic effect occurred for vanadate-phosphate solutions in a 50:50 ratio.³¹ However, all of these studies of inhibition by vanadates lacked information regarding vanadate speciation in the test solutions, and interpretations were based on the analysis of speciation diagrams, which do not consider metastable phases.

The coordination chemistry of vanadium oxoanions in aqueous solutions is rather complex. It involves several protonation/ deprotonation reactions, as well as polymerization to form oligomers of varied molecular weight, depending upon pH and concentration.³²⁻³⁶ In general, all the polymerized species are referred to as isopolyanions or isopolymetallates.^{34,36-38}

In part, as a consequence of the role played by vanadates in modifying several biochemical processes, vanadate speciation has been the subject of several review studies that characterized the system in detail.³⁹⁻⁴⁷ Initially, techniques such as ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy, pH measurements, and electrochemical studies were employed to determine the stoichiometry of the equilibria of the different vanadate species.^{48,49} However, the information extracted from those techniques is rather limited and possesses virtually no direct evidence of the coordination structure of the different isopolyanions and in some cases, was proven to be incorrect.^{41,42} It was not until the maturing of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy that the exact structure and concentration of the different V oligomers present in aqueous solutions were understood.⁴² Nevertheless, there is still some debate regarding existence and coordination of some species such as $V_3O_9^{3-.2244}$

Modern high-field NMR gives high-quality structural data and quantitative information regarding speciation and concentration of a large variety of compounds in aqueous and nonaqueous solvents.⁵⁰ The only limitation of NMR is the inability of detecting minor components with overlapping or coincident chemical shifts.⁵⁰ According to ⁵¹V and ¹⁷O NMR spectroscopy, the observed oligomers in the range of pH 7–9 and at varied vanadate concentrations are the monovanadates (V₁), divanadates (V₂), cyclic tetravanadates (V₄), and cyclic pentavanadates (V₅).⁴²⁻⁴⁴ In contrast, decavanadates are stable in the pH range from 2 to 6 but can form in solutions of higher pH due to local acidification of the solution.^{32,36,39,41,44} Polymerization to V₁₀ is rapid and the mechanism of formation is not

B533

^{*} Electrochemical Society Student Member.

^{**} Electrochemical Society Active Member.



Figure 1. pH of clear, as-dissolved solutions as a function of NaVO_3 concentration.

well understood. Depolymerization of $V_{10},$ on the other hand, is very slow. 39 Heating can be used to precipitate decavanadate crystals out of aqueous solutions. 51

The results of prior studies on corrosion inhibition by vanadates are clouded by a lack of understanding of speciation, the complexity of the hydrolysis of vanadates in aqueous solutions, and the existence of metastable equilibria. The main objective of this work is to understand which vanadate oligomer imparts the best corrosion protection for AA2024-T3. Vanadate speciation as a function of pH and concentration is investigated by ⁵¹V NMR. The influence of decavanadate formation, solution aging, and bulk pH on corrosion inhibition of AA2024-T3 is also addressed.

Experimental

Materials and sample preparation.— Commercially available sodium vanadium oxide, 98% NaVO₃, and reagent-grade sodium chloride from Sigma-Aldrich were used. All solutions were prepared with 18.2 M Ω cm deionized water.

To reduce the possibility of composition or heat-treatment artifacts, samples were cut from two different AA2024-T3 panels (nominal composition 3.8–4.9% Cu, 1.2–1.8% Mg, 0.3–0.9% Mn, 0.5% Fe, 0.5% Si, 0.25% Zn, 0.1% Cr, 0.05% Ti, balance Al) that were 1 and 5 mm thick, respectively. The samples were mounted in epoxy resin; ground through 1200 grit SiC papers (Buehler), and polished with 3 and 1 μ m diamond paste (Buehler). Ethyl alcohol (<0.2% water) was used as a lubricant during all the surface preparation stages to minimize corrosion damage during grinding and polishing.

Determination of the minimum pH that triggers orange V_{10} polymerization.— As-prepared, NaVO3 solutions remain colorless with a pH that linearly increases with total vanadium concentration (Fig. 1). Acidification with concentrated HCl to adjust bulk pH produces a change in color to orange indicating the formation of decavanadates. The determination of the minimum pH that causes V₁₀ formation was investigated. At the same time it was possible to determine whether the bulk pH can be adjusted without introducing color change. Aliquots of colorless 150 mM NaVO₃ + 0.5 M NaCl solutions were added to beakers containing exclusively 0.5 M NaCl solutions with initial pH (pH_0) varying from 1 to 12. The NaCl solutions were not buffered to avoid any possible complexation between vanadium and the buffer media. Therefore, pH₀ was adjusted and measured immediately before mixing. Final NaVO₃ concentrations of 100, 50, or 5 mM were obtained by varying the injected volume. The final pH after mixing, pH_f, was monitored and determined as a function of pH₀.

NMR spectroscopy.— Procedures for ⁵¹V NMR described in the literature were followed.^{39,42-47} High-resolution ⁵¹V (105.2 MHz) spectra were obtained at room temperature utilizing a Bruker DPX 400 MHz superconducting magnet. A broadband direct detection 5 mm probe with a corresponding 5.9 ms 90° pulse duration was used. The ⁵¹V chemical shifts were referenced to an external standard 20% v/v VOCl₃ in C₆D₆ ($\delta^{51}V = 0$ ppm). All spectra were acquired with an accumulation of 1024 transients using a spectral window of 75,158 Hz, an acquisition time of 0.03 s, and a relaxation delay of 0.20 s. The following processing parameters were applied to each spectrum prior to integration: 30 Hz line broadening, zero filling, and baseline corrections.

Speciation of clear metavanadate solutions and orange solutions containing decavanadate at varied concentrations was compared at the same high pH. Decavanadate solutions were prepared by acidifying clear metavanadate solutions to pH 4 to obtain an orange solution and readjusting the electrolyte to pH 8.71 using NaOH. The resulting solution remained colored at the high pH. Metavanadate solutions were also directly adjusted to higher pHs, and these solutions remained clear. The effects of pH on speciation and corrosion performance of clear metavanadate solutions were also analyzed by increasing the pH of 10 mM NaVO₃ solutions with pH 7.8–10.

Electrochemical tests.- All electrochemical tests were carried out using either a Gamry PC3/300 or a VoltaLab PGP-201 potentiostat in a three-electrode array. A platinum mesh counter electrode and a standard calomel reference electrode (SCE) were used in all experiments. A Luggin capillary filled with an agar-agar gel made with 0.5 M NaCl was used in all tests. Samples were cleaned and degreased with ethyl alcohol before testing. Each experiment was repeated at least in triplicate. Cathodic polarization experiments were carried out in solutions that were bubbled with air for 1 h prior to the experiment and stirred during the experiment to generate a more reproducible limiting current for the ORR. The potential sweep started 10 mV above the open circuit potential (OCP) and was stopped when the current density reached -2 mA/cm^2 . A scan rate of 1 mV/s was used and the total exposed area was approximately 1 cm². Corrosion rate at OCP was assessed from the polarization resistance (R_P) determined using the linear polarization technique (LPT). The potential was scanned at 5 mV/s over a range ±15 mV relative to the OCP. LPT measurements were repeated each 30 min for 24 h.

Long-term OCP exposure.— Samples were immersed in chloride solution containing decavanadate or metavanadate solution for 14 days. The solutions were renewed every 24 h to reduce the effects of changes in pH or speciation.

Results and Discussion

Determination of the minimum pH that triggers V_{10} formation.- The objective of these experiments was to determine the critical conditions (i.e., initial pH and final vanadate concentration) that cause decavanadate formation, as evidenced by a change in color from clear to orange. The injection of the concentrated clear 150 mM NaVO₃ solution was made slowly and recorded with a digital camera. Figure 2 shows the pH_f as a function of pH_0 . The color change associated with V10 formation was only triggered for $pH_0 \leq 3$, independent of final vanadate concentration. Interestingly, for 100 mM and 50 mM final vanadate concentrations, pH_f was equal to 8.4 independent of pH_0 for $3 < pH_0 < 12$. For 5 mM total vanadate, pH_f was equal to 7.6 independent of pH₀ for $4 < pH_0$ < 12. In other words, to create vanadate solutions of concentration 5 mM or higher with pH less than 7.5, the vanadates must be mixed with solutions having pH less than 3-4. Since this pH range is above the pH for color change, a direct implication of these findings is the practical impossibility of adjusting the pH of clear NaVO₃ solutions, without producing a change in color and speciation. Therefore, previous studies that investigated inhibition by vanadates at pH 7 actu-ally used solutions containing decavanadate.^{26,29,30} Exact speciation



Figure 2. Final pH of solutions formed by mixing metavanadate with solutions of varying initial pH. The concentration refers to the final vanadate concentration after mixing.

of these solutions are addressed below. Once formed, however, orange decavanadate solutions can be adjusted to high pH without completely depolymerizing the V_{10} formed during acidification. Therefore, it was possible to analyze and compare clear metavanadate and orange decavanadate solutions at a common pH only at high pH. Here solutions were adjusted to pH 8.71, which corresponds to the as-dissolved pH of 100 mM NaVO₃.

The fact that a local environment with a pH \leq 3 triggers formation of decavanadates not only has direct implications in sample preparation procedures, but it might also impact coating schemes based on vanadates. The case of an epoxy coating containing a metavanadate pigment can be used as a simple example. If a scratch through the coating is exposed to an aggressive electrolyte, release of a vanadate species from the coating to the damaged area will occur. If the pH of the electrolyte is lower than 3, released metavanadates will polymerize to form decavanadates. In such a case, decavanadates will have to protect the exposed bare metal from further corrosion. On the other hand, if the pH of the aggressive electrolyte is greater than 4, metavanadates will be the species released and present in solution.

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR).— 51 V NMR was used to determine quantitatively the speciation of vanadate solutions. Decavanadates were prepared by first acidifying clear metavanadate solutions to pH 4 and then readjusting to pH 8.71. Since decavanadate solutions are not thermodynamically stable in these conditions, solutions were prepared immediately before NMR analysis.

Typical ⁵¹V NMR spectra for a 100 mM decavanadate solution and a 100 mM metavanadate solution adjusted to pH 8.71 are shown in Fig. 3a and b. The peaks are labeled based on assignments reported in the literature.^{32,34,39,41-47} NMR peaks are proportional to the species concentration.⁵⁰ Summations of the peak areas of spectra such as those in Fig. 3a and b from both decavanadate and metavanadate solutions of varying concentration are given in Fig. 3c and d, respectively. In both cases, the sum of *n* times the area under the peaks, where n = 1, 2, 4, 5, or 10, linearly scaled with total vanadium concentration, thereby proving the validity of the peak assignment and providing a calibration of the method.

Figure 4 shows changes in speciation associated with pH adjustment. A typical ⁵¹V NMR spectrum of a 100 mM clear metavanadate solution at pH 8.71 is shown in Fig. 4a. The oligomers present in such conditions are the monovanadate (V₁), the divanadate (V₂), the tetravanadate (V₄), and the pentavanadate (V₅) as has been reported previously.^{32-34,41-43,50} At low concentrations (1–5 mM NaVO₃) V₁ is the predominant species, whereas at higher

concentrations, such as the case of Fig. 4a, the oligomers of higher molecular weight become predominant.^{42,44} When the clear metavanadate solutions are acidified to pH 4, all the metavanadates polymerize to form an orange decavanadate solution exclusively containing, within the detection limit of the instrument, signal from V₁₀ oligomers (Fig. 4b). Increasing the pH of the decavanadate solutions produces the partial depolymerization of V₁₀ to give V₅,V₄, and V₂ (Fig. 4c). At low concentrations (1–5 mM NaVO₃), V₁₀ is the predominant species and V₂ is the main metavanadate in these solutions with pH adjusted back to high values. As [NaVO₃] increases, V₄ becomes the predominant metavanadate, as in the case of Fig. 4c.

Comparison of Fig. 4a and b indicates that clear metavanadate solutions contain vanadate monomers, whereas orange decavanadate solutions at pH 8.71 do not. In all of the orange solutions examined, V_{10} was always observed and V_1 was never observed. Figure 5 shows the concentration of V_1 species in clear metavanadate solutions as a function of the total vanadate concentration, all at pH 8.71. The concentration of V_{10} linearly scales with total [NaVO₃]. However, the amount of V_1 present in clear metavanadate solutions seems to reach a saturation level of 6.5 mM.

Table I summarizes the chemical shifts, δ , observed for the different oligomers for both decavanadate and metavanadate solutions at pH 8.71. At a fixed pH the chemical shift of the different oligomers does not vary with incremental concentrations of NaVO₃. $^{32,42-44}$ Using chemical shift information, it is possible to determine the state of protonation of the oligomers. 43 Within the experimental error of 0.5 ppm, the results are in good agreement with Heath et al. and Crans et al.^{32,42} Nevertheless, the chemical shifts are about 3 ppm more negative than commonly tabulated values. The small discrepancies are probably caused by different experimental conditions such as temperature, ionic strength, and/or pH.^{42,50} For the clear solutions, the chemical shift of the monovanadates indicates that monoprotonated and diprotonated V1 are present. Likewise, for both clear and orange solutions, the chemical shift for the divanadate species indicates that $HV_2O_7^{3-}$ and $H_2V_2O_7^{2-}$ are at equilibrium. As hypothesized by Heath et al., the slightly more negative chemical shifts for both V_4 and V_5 may correspond to an equilibrium reaction between cyclic and linear oligomers.⁴² In addition, for the orange solutions, the position of the three V₁₀ peaks seems to indicate that the decavanadate species at metastable equilibrium are $HV_{10}O_{28}^{5-}$ and $H_2V_{10}O_{28}^{3-}$.³²

Inhibition by metavanadate and decavanadate solutions.— The corrosion-inhibiting effects of orange solutions containing decavanadate but no monovanadate and clear solutions containing metavanadates $(V_1 - V_5)$ but no decavanadate were determined at the fixed pH of 8.71. These solutions will be referred to as orange decavanadate and clear metavanadate solutions, respectively, even though the former solutions also contain some metavanadates. Cathodic polarization curves of AA2024-T3 were measured in orange decavanadate and clear metavanadate pH 8.71 solutions containing 0.5 M NaCl and varying amounts of NaVO₃. Figure 6a compares typical cathodic polarization curves for 100 mM NaVO₃ solutions. A cathodic polarization curve in 0.5 M NaCl with no vanadates is also shown for reference. In the absence of inhibitor, the ORR is not impeded and a limiting current, $i_{\rm L}$, of 25–30 μ A/cm² is observed. The i_L obtained in Cu-containing aluminum alloys is significantly larger than in pure Al or in Al alloys not alloyed with Cu. Although not completely understood, it is generally accepted that the presence of Cu contamination in the passive film on the matrix or on intermetallic particles increases conductivity of the oxide, making the diffusion of oxygen the rate-limiting step.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ In pure Al, the alumina layer acts as an electric isolator, causing electron transfer throughout the film to be extremely difficult. The ability to sustain a large cathodic current makes Al–Cualloys very susceptible to localized corrosion.⁵⁵ Therefore, if a corrosion inhibitor in the



Figure 3. Assignment of ⁵¹V NMR peaks and calibration: (a) typical ⁵¹V NMR spectrum for an orange decavanadate solution, (b) typical ⁵¹V NMR spectrum for a clear metavanadate solution, (c) calibration curve for orange decavanadate solutions, and (d) calibration curve for clear metavanadate solutions.

aggressive electrolyte controls the kinetics of oxygen reduction, a diminished corrosion rate is expected.

Figure 6a shows that orange decavanadate solutions do not reduce the kinetics of ORR significantly. For a 100 mM decavanadate solution, an average $i_{\rm L}$ of 8–15 μ A/cm² is observed. The effects of NaVO₃ concentration are summarized in Fig. 6b. Comparison of the effects of vanadate on ORR kinetics is complicated by the different shapes of the polarization curves, especially at low potentials. The current density values plotted in Fig. 6b were obtained by extrapolating the linear regions of the cathodic curves to the high potential of -800 mV SCE. This is an arbitrary definition and different approaches could have been taken. However, it gives a fair representation of the inhibition efficacy. Higher vanadate concentrations resulted in larger cathodic currents for the orange decavanadate solutions, supporting the concept that decavanadates are poor inhibitors of the ORR. The small amount of inhibition observed in decavanadate solutions is possibly a consequence of the presence of metavanadates such as V2. As the NaVO3 concentration increases, the amounts of V_{10} and V_4 increase accordingly, lowering the V_2/V_4 ratio. It is hypothesized that adsorption of V2 is somehow impeded by the presence of V_4 and V_{10} , which lowers the inhibition extent by allowing oxygen to adsorb freely on the local cathodes and then reduce.

In contrast to the orange decavanadate solutions, a significant decrease in the rate of oxygen reduction was observed in clear metavanadate solutions. The kinetics of oxygen reduction were reduced



Figure 4. 51 V NMR spectra of 100 mM vanadate solution in different conditions: (a) as-dissolved pH 8.71, (b) acidified to pH 4, and (c) acidified and readjusted to pH 8.71.



Figure 5. Concentration of V_1 and V_{10} as a function of total [NaVO₃]: (a) clear metavanadate solutions and (b) orange decavanadate solutions. All at pH 8.71.

by almost 4 orders of magnitude and no diffusion-limiting region was observed (Fig. 6a). In addition, the threshold for hydrogen evolution was shifted toward more negative overpotentials. In further contrast to the orange decavanadate solutions, inhibition performance increased with increasing vanadate concentration (Fig. 6b). Since the orange decavanadate solutions contain no V₁ and the clear metavanadate solutions contain no V₁₀, these results suggest that decavanadates are detrimental or ineffective inhibitors and monovanadates provide the best inhibition. In this regard, the extent of inhibition imparted by monovanadates is similar to that reported for chromates and dichromates in aqueous solutions.^{21,23,57} These data

Table I. Chemical shift for the different oligomers present in clear and orange solutions obtained by $^{51}\rm V$ NMR.

	Species	Chemical shift (ppm)
Clear	V ₁	-545.55
	V_2	-569.62
	V_4	-581.13
	V_5	-589.34
Orange	V_2	-565.17
	V_4	-581.50
	V_5	-589.94
	V_{10}	-426.84
		-501.58
		-517.97



Figure 6. Inhibition of ORR by vanadate solutions. (a) Cathodic polarization curves of AA2024-T3 in 0.5 M NaCl with no inhibitor, orange decavanadate, or clear metavanadate. (b) Effects of vanadate concentration on inhibition efficiency measured by calculating the cathodic current at E = -800 mV SCE.

provide no insight on whether the monomers are adsorbed or reduced at the surface. The mechanisms of inhibition by V_1 will be discussed in a future communication. However, it is important to note that the efficacy of vanadate inhibition seems to reach a limiting value in the same range of NaVO₃ concentrations where the V_1 concentration reaches a limiting value (Fig. 5).

A comparison of the long-term performance of orange decavanadate and clear metavanadate solutions was carried out by analyzing polarization resistance values, R_P , extracted by LPT and by optical inspection after OCP exposure. Samples of AA2024-T3 were exposed to aerated pH 8.71 solutions containing 0.5 M NaCl with or without vanadates as indicated. Typical results are shown in Fig. 7. In the absence of inhibitor, R_P varied between 5 and 10 k Ω cm², and samples were severely pitted after the 24 h experiment. The fluctuation of R_P in the solution without inhibitor is probably associated with the pitting corrosion. An orange decavanadate solution containing 6.26 mM V_{10} (present in a solution that was initially 100 mM NaVO₃) exhibited R_P values that fluctuated between 50 and 300 k Ω cm² initially. However, after about 5 h, R_P decreased with time to 10–30 $k\Omega$ cm². In contrast, in a clear metavanadate solution containing 1 mM V_1 , significantly higher R_P values were observed. Polarization resistance typically varied between 300 and 1100 k Ω cm² and was virtually independent of NaVO₃ concentration above 1 mM. The low corrosion rate was sustained during the 24 h of exposure. Samples exposed at OCP to orange decavanadate solutions containing NaCl rapidly developed pits that could be ob-



Figure 7. Polarization resistance of AA2024-T3 in 0.5 M NaCl solutions containing no inhibitor, 1 mM $\rm V_1$ or 6.26 mM $\rm V_{10}.$

served easily with the unaided eye. Figure 8a is an optical micrograph of an AA2024-T3 after 14 days in an orange decavanadate + chloride solution with initial total vanadate concentration of 10 mM. Very large pits at the surface are evident. In contrast, only small pits were observed after exposure to clear metavanadate + chloride solutions (Fig. 8b). Interestingly, in both cases, pits were always covered by a corrosion product that was enriched in vanadium as shown by EDS (Fig. 8c). The scratches observed in Fig. 8c and d are common for AA2024-T3 polished to 1 μ m diamond paste. Atomic force microscopy measurements revealed that the scratches are less than 1 μ m deep. Similar results were obtained for samples only polished to 1200 grit.

Since high-pH orange decavanadate solutions are not at thermodynamic equilibrium, it was of interest to analyze the effects of solution aging on corrosion inhibition performance. A 100 mM orange decavanadate solution adjusted to pH 8.71 was aged for 10 days. Samples were extracted for ⁵¹V NMR analysis and electro-



Figure 8. Optical micrographs of AA2024-T3 after 14 days of exposure to aerated 0.5 M NaCl containing (a) V_{10} and (b) V_1 . (c) Secondary electron image of a small pit nucleated after 14 days exposure to V_1 , and EDS analysis of the corrosion products showing enrichment in vanadium.



Figure 9. Effect of aging. (a) 51 V NMR spectrum of a 100 mM orange decavanadate solution aged 24 h and (b) concentration of the different oligomers as a function of aging time.

chemical characterization after 1, 3, and 10 days. The solution pH decreased slowly over time; therefore, pH was readjusted to 8.71 before the experiments.

The ⁵¹V NMR spectrum shows that after 24 h aging the amount of V_{10} was reduced and V_4 became predominant (Fig. 9a). However, decavanadate was still present and monovanadate did not form during aging, indicating that the depolymerization to form V_1 is not favored under these conditions. After 3 and 10 days no significant changes in speciation were observed (Fig. 9b). This suggests that the rate of depolymerization is extremely slow. Samples without pH readjustment were also analyzed for comparison. Except for small changes in δ , no significant differences in speciation were found. Consequently, from a practical standpoint, polymerization of V_{10} is not completely reversible.

Cathodic polarization curves did not show significant variations with aging time of the orange decavanadate solutions (Fig. 10). A large limiting current associated with a relatively fast rate of ORR was observed. Similarly, the OCP was 300 mV more positive than the OCP in clear metavanadate solutions. It is interesting that polarization curves in metavanadate solutions were very reproducible, but the scatter for the orange decavanadate solutions was large. The polarization plots shown here represent a lower limit for decavanadate solutions to present conservative results. In many cases currents as large as the limiting current in solutions with no inhibitor added were obtained. Since the main consequence of aging was the rapid formation of V_4 species, these findings indicate that inhibition of



Figure 10. Effects of solution aging on cathodic behavior of AA2024-T3 in orange decavanadate solutions.

ORR is not imparted by V_{10} or by V_4 . In other words, it seems plausible that the higher molecular weight oligomers do not impart good protection since they cannot lower the rate of O_2 reduction.

The effects of incremental pH on speciation of 10 mM clear metavanadate solutions were also investigated by 51 V NMR. Figure 11a summarizes the variation of the concentration of the different oligomers as a function of pH. As pH increased, V₁ rapidly became predominant and V₅ and V₄ peaks diminished. Above pH 9 only signals from V₁ and V₂ were obtained. Chemical shift vs pH is



Figure 11. Effect of pH. (a) Concentration of the oligomers present in 10 mM metavanadate solutions as a function of pH and (b) chemical shift as a function of pH. Above pH 9 signals only from V_1 and V_2 are observed.



Figure 12. Cathodic polarization curves of AA2024-T3 exposed to 0.5 M NaCl at high pH with no inhibitor or clear metavanadate.

plotted in Fig. 11b. In good agreement with Heath et al. and Larson,^{42,44} the equilibrium for both V₁ and V₂ shifted toward the deprotonated form at pH > 9. Chemical shift of the higher molecular weight oligomers is virtually independent of pH.^{32,42,44}

Taking into account these findings, it is possible to analyze the effects of V₁ concentration, protonation state, and pH on inhibition. Cathodic polarization experiments in 0.5 M NaCl + 10 mM NaVO₃ with different pH were carried out and compared with solutions containing no inhibitor at the same pHs. The exact concentration of V₁ and V₂ can be extracted from the ⁵¹V NMR data (Fig. 11a).

The polarization curves in the solutions with no inhibitor were identical except for a change in the OCP. All polarization curves in the metavanadate-containing solutions exhibited much lower ORR rates, and there was no clear influence of pH (and thus V_1 concentration) (Fig. 12). This suggests that above a critical concentration, most of the local cathodes are blocked by V_1 (or a combination of V_1 and V_2), which greatly reduces the rate of oxygen reduction.

These findings should directly impact the development of new coating systems based on vanadates. To date, most of the work with vanadium compounds was focused on hosting decavanadates in hydrotalcite pigments or in conversion coatings.^{14,26,30} Since monovanadate appears to be the strongest corrosion inhibitor of the system, any coating scheme based on vanadium should release V₁. The electrolyte developed in atmospheric exposure of aircrafts is thought to be neutral to basic,⁵⁹ which would impede the formation of decavanadate. Special care should also be taken during coating formulation. Since pHs < 3 triggers decavanadate formation, the pH of the coating bath has to remain above that critical level. The main problem of NaVO₃ as pigment is its relatively large solubility, which would end up producing blistering after water uptake. However, Smith et al.^{28,60} and Nazarov et al.⁶¹ produced a variety of vanadate pigments with a lower solubility than NaVO₃, by simply reacting NaVO₃ with different metallic chlorides such as MgCl₂ and SrCl₂. This suggests that it could be possible to have control over the release kinetics.

In addition to the effects of vanadates on the ORR, the effects of both clear metavanadate and orange decavanadate solutions on the anodic reaction were studied in detail and are the topic of a future communication. However, the effects of clear metavanadate solution on the anodic reaction were significantly smaller than the large reduction in the rate of the ORR.

Finally, it is unclear what would happen inside an acidic crevice. However, the absence of crevice attack under masked regions during long-term exposure seems to indicate that the blockage of the local cathodes by monovanadates diminishes any possible anodic dissolution, including crevice corrosion. Nevertheless, a more detailed investigation is still required.

Conclusions

Speciation of vanadate solutions with varying concentrations and pH was studied by 51 V NMR spectroscopy and the effects of corrosion inhibition for AA2024-T3 were investigated. The following conclusions can be obtained:

1. Decavanadate only formed when metavanadate was added to solutions of pH 3 or less. The final pH after vanadate addition was independent of the initial pH for initial pH of 3 or greater. Therefore, it is impossible to change the pH of a metavanadate solution without forming decavanadates.

2. Acidification of clear metavanadate solutions to pH 4 or lower polymerized all the oligomers to form V_{10} . Readjusting the pH to 8.71 produced a partial depolymerization of V_{10} to form V_2 , V_4 , and V_5 . Orange solutions always contained decavanadates and never contained monovanadates.

3. Orange decavanadate solutions containing 0.5 M NaCl exhibited no significant inhibition of the ORR and increasing decavanadate concentration was detrimental. Aging of the metastable decavanadate solutions for up to 10 days did not improve protection.

4. Clear metavanadate solutions containing monovanadate exhibited strong inhibition of the ORR, to a level similar to chromate. At a fixed pH, increased NaVO₃ concentration in clear metavanadate solutions increased inhibition efficiency.

5. Since the orange decavanadate solutions contain no V_1 and the clear metavanadate solutions contain no V_{10} , these results suggest that decavanadates are detrimental or ineffective inhibitors and monovanadates provide the best inhibition.

6. As the pH of clear metavanadate solutions increases, V_1 and V_2 become the predominant species, but improvement in inhibition was not found.

7. A coating system based on vanadates should be able to release monovandates. The formation of decavanadates during coating formulation should be avoided.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially funded by AFOSR under award F 49620-02-0321, Major J. Gresham, Ph.D., contract monitor.

The Ohio State University assisted in meeting the publication costs of this article.

References

- J. R. Davis, ASM Specialty Handbook: Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys, Chap. 2, p. 31, ASM International, Materials Park, OH (1993).
- C. Kammer, Aluminum Handbook, Vol. 1, 1st ed., Chap. 4, p. 125, Aluminum-Verlag, Düsseldorf, 1999).
- 3. C. Blanc, B. Lavelle, and G. Mankowski, *Corros. Sci.*, **39**, 495 (1997).
- R. G. Buchheit, R. P. Grant, P. F. Hlava, B. McKenzie, and G. L. Zender, J. Electrochem. Soc., 144, 2621 (1997).
- 5. G. S. Chen, M. Gao, and R. P. Wei, Corrosion (Houston), 52, 8 (1996).
- 6. W. Zhang and G. S. Frankel, *Electrochim. Acta*, 48, 1193 (2003).
- P. Campestrini, H. Terryn, J. Vereecken, and J. H. W. D. Wit, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 151, B370 (2004).
- P. L. Hagans and C. M. Haas, ASM Handbook, Vol. 5, Surface Engineering, 10th ed., F. Reidenbach, Editor, p. 104, ASM International, Metals Park, OH (1994).
- 9. M. Kendig and R. G. Buchheit, Corrosion (Houston), 59, 379 (2003)
- 10. M. Kendig and C. Yan, J. Electrochem. Soc., 151, B679 (2004).
- M. Koudelkova, J. Augustynski, and H. Berthou, J. Electrochem. Soc., 124, 1165 (1977).
- P. G. Sheasby and R. Pinner, *The Surface Treatment and Finishing of Aluminum and its Alloys*, Vol. 1, Chap. 5, p. 231, ASM International, Materials Park, OH (2001).
- 13. G. S. Frankel and R. L. McCreery, Interface (USA) 2001, 34.
- R. G. Buchheit, in *Corrosion Science: A Retrospective and Current Status in Honor* of Robert P. Frankenthal, G. S.Frankel, J. R. Scully, H. S. Isaacs, and J. D. Sinclair, Editors, PV 2002-13, p. 430, The Electrochemical Society Proceedings Series, Pennington, NJ (2002).
- P. Campestrini, G. Goeminne, H. Terryn, and J. Vereecken, J. Electrochem. Soc., 151, B70 (2004).
 J. S. Crompton, P. R. Andrews, and E. M. Alpine, Surf. Interface Anal., 13, 160
- (1988).
- C. S. Jeffcoate, H. S. Isaacs, A. J. Aldykiewicz, and M. P. Ryan, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **147**, 540 (2000).
- 18. B. L. Hurley and R. L. McCreery, J. Electrochem. Soc., 150, B367 (2003).
- 19. G. P. Halada and C. R. Clayton, J. Electrochem. Soc., 138, 2921 (1991).
- 20. J. Wan, G. E. Thompson, K. Lu, and C. J. E. Smith, Physica B, 208 & 209, 511

(1995).

- 21. M. Kendig, S. Jeanjaquet, R. Addison, and J. Waldrop, Surf. Coat. Technol., 140, 58 (2001).
- J. H. Osborne, Prog. Org. Coat., 41, 280 (2001).
 J. Zhao, L. Xia, A. Sehgal, D. Lu, R. L. McCreery, and G. S. Frankel, Surf. Coat. Technol., 140, 51 (2001);
- 24. L. Xia, E. Akiyama, G. S. Frankel, and R. L. McCreery, J. Electrochem. Soc., 147, 2556 (2000).
- USAF Blue Ribbon Advisory Panel Report on Aircraft Coatings, Materials Directorate, Wright Laboratory (1995).
- 26. H. Guan and R. G. Buchheit, Corrosion (Houston), 60, 284 (2004).
- 27. M. Trypuc and G. Lyjak, Polish J. App. Chem., XLI (z3), 187 (1997)
- C. J. E. Smith, M. A. H. Hewins, P. L. Lane, K. R. Baldwin, and M. C. Gibson, in 28. Proceedings of Eurocorr 98, Utrecht, The Netherlands, Paper N 5 (1998).
- 29. R. L. Cook and S. R. Taylor, Corrosion (Houston), 56, 321 (2000).
- 30. R. G. Buchheit, H. Guan, S. Mahajanam, and F. Wong, Prog. Org. Coat., 47, 174 (2003).
- 31. B. D. Chambers, S. R. Taylor, and M. W. Kendig, Corrosion (Houston), 61, 480 (2005).
- 32. D. C. Crans and A. S. Tracey, ACS Symp. Ser., 711, 2 (1998).
- 33. L. Petterson and K. Elvigson, ACS Symp. Ser., **711**, 30 (1998).
- 34. L. Petersson, Mol. Eng., 3, 29 (1993).
- 35. J. J. Cruywagen, Adv. Inorg. Chem., 49, 127 (2000).
- 36. A. F. Holleman and E. Wiberg, Inorganic Chemistry, Academic Press, New York (2001).
- 37. L. Bouhedja, N. Steunou, J. Maquet, and J. Livage, J. Solid State Chem., 162, 315 (2001).
- 38. J. Livage, Chem. Mater., 3, 578 (1991).
- M. Aureliano and R. M. C. Gandara, J. Inorg. Biochem., 99, 979 (2005).
 D. Rehder, Coord. Chem. Rev., 182, 297 (1999).

- 41. O. W. Howarth and R. E. Richards, J. Chem. Soc., 2, 864 (1965).
- 42. E. Heath and O. W. Howarth, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans., 2, 1105 (1981).
- 43. A. S. Tracey, J. S. Jaswal, and S. J. Angus-Dunne, Inorg. Chem., 34, 5680 (1995).
- 44. J. W. Larson, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 40, 1276 (1995).
- 45. A. S. Tracey, Coord. Chem. Rev., 237, 113 (2003).
- 46. A. S. Tracey, M. J. Gresser, and K. M. Parkinson, Inorg. Chem., 26, 629 (1987).
- 47. A. S. Tracey and C. H. Leon-Lai, Inorg. Chem., 30, 3200 (1991).
- H. B. F. Boy and B. W. Dale, *Q. Rev., Chem. Soc.*, **22**, 527 (1968).
 S. E. O'donnell and M. T. Pope, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, **4**, 2290 (1976).
- 50. J. B. Lambert and E. P. Mazzola, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy: An Introduction to Principles, Applications, and Experimental Methods, Chap. 1, Pearson Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1999).
- 51. G. A. Pozarnsky and A. V. McCormick, Chem. Mater., 6, 380 (1994).
- 52. G. O. Ilevbare and J. R. Scully, J. Electrochem. Soc., 148, B196 (2001)
- 53. M. B. Vukmirovic, N. Dimitrov, and K. Sieradzki, J. Electrochem. Soc., 149, B428 (2002).
- 54. M. B. Vukmirovic, N. Vasiljevic, N. Dimitrov, and K. Sieradzki, J. Electrochem. Soc., 150, B10 (2003).
- 55. G. O. Ilevbare and J. R. Scully, Corrosion (Houston), 57, 134 (2001).
- 56. D. Chidambaram, C. R. Clayton, and G. P. Halada, J. Electrochem. Soc., 151, B151 (2004).
- 57. W. J. Clark, J. D. Ramsey, R. L. McCreery, and G. S. Frankel, J. Electrochem. Soc., 149, B179 (2002).
- 58. M. Kendig, M. Hon, and L. Warren, Prog. Org. Coat., 47, 183 (2003).
- 59. M. E. Inman, R. G. Kelly, S. A. Willard, and R. S. Plascik, in Proceedings of the ASIP Conference, Atlanta, GA, U.S. Air Force (Nov 1996).
- 60. C. J. E. Smith, ATB Metalurgie, 37, 266 (1997).
- 61. A. Nazarov, D. Thierry, T. Prosek, and N. L. Bozec, J. Electrochem. Soc., 152, B220 (2005).